ATTACHMENT 86

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
     SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE
 4
                                       )
     COMPANY, INC.,
                                       )
 5
                                       )
              Plaintiff,
 6
                                       ) Case No.
              vs.
 7
                                       ) 3:21-CV-03496-VC
     INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
 8
              Defendant.
 9
10
11
12
            VIRTUAL VIDEOCONFERENCE VIDEO-RECORDED
13
                   DEPOSITION OF GREG POSDAL
14
         30(B)(6), SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE COMPANY
15
16
                    Tuesday, November 1, 2022
17
           Remotely Testifying from Phoenix, Arizona
18
19
20
21
22
     Stenographically Reported By:
23
     Hanna Kim, CLR, CSR No. 13083
24
25
     Job No. 5541334-A
                                                   Page 1
```

1	that what SIS calls the recovery program?	
2	A. It is.	
3	Q. What is the how how does the	
4	resetting program work?	
5	A. To my understanding and this goes back	09:18:52
6	to probably the middle of 2019, I visited Rebotix at	
7	their location. And Chris Gibson walked me through	
8	the process of adding an electronic device that	
9	would allow the the addition of ten more uses.	
10	Q. SIS does not itself perform the resetting	09:19:22
11	process; correct?	
12	A. It that is correct.	
13	Q. When's the last time SIS facilitated a	
14	chip reset for one of its customers?	
15	A. I couldn't be sure, but it was probably	09:19:39
16	2019 or '20, that that whole issue was shut down	
17	pretty quickly.	
18	Q. How does the recovery program work?	
19	A. The recovery program is simply getting the	
20	device from the customer, attaching a device that	09:20:08
21	could read only the number of lives left on it, and	
22	returning it to the customer.	
23	Q. I'll focus first on the reset program.	
24	Did SIS perform any testing of its own	
25	regarding the reset program before SIS first	09:20:30
		Page 22

1	marketed it?	
2	A. No. We were in a partnership with Rebotix	
3	for a number of Benjamin Biomedical and Rebotix,	
4	they do some repairs and some components for us,	
5	harmonic scalpels and Phaco handpieces and video	09:20:49
6	cameras, which are considerably more complex in the	
7	EndoWrist we're speaking about, and they have	
8	they had done a great job of those over the number	
9	of years.	
10	We saw their test results and and as I	09:21:05
11	had mentioned prior, the visit to Rebotix where we	
12	walked through the process, and we were satisfied	
13	that the testing they'd done they had done was	
14	adequate, especially with regard to our expertise	
15	in in regular instrument device repair for the	09:21:22
16	last 50 years. The only thing that's really	
17	different about this process is the chip counter	
18	itself.	
19	Q. Okay. So I I understand that SIS may	
20	have had a partnership with Rebotix. But my	09:21:38
21	question was was focused solely on testing that	
22	SIS did itself.	
23	And I'm I'm correct in understanding	
24	that SIS did not perform any of its own testing for	
25	the reset process; correct?	09:21:50
		Page 23

1	A. That is correct.	
2	Q. Did SIS itself engage any third parties to	
3	perform testing regarding the reset process	
4	before	
5	A. Only	09:22:00
6	Q marketing it	
7	(Simultaneous speaking.)	
8	(Interruption in audio/video.)	
9	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. There was	
10	a word that got cut out. Please repeat.	09:22:04
11	MR. CHAPUT: Yes.	
12	BY MR. CHAPUT:	
13	Q. Did SIS itself engage any third parties to	
14	perform testing regarding the reset process before	
15	marketing it?	09:22:12
16	A. No. Only Rebotix.	
17	Q. What testing did Rebotix perform before	
18	SIS started marketing the reset process?	
19	A. I I don't have any of that information	
20	in front of me. But it was fairly extensive, a	09:22:32
21	bunch of ISO certifications, reprocessing tests	
22	through, I think, up to 50 uses or more. I think	
23	that was about I I'm sure they they did	
24	more testing to get that product finished, but I'm	
25	not privy to that information.	09:22:53
		Page 24

1	management ac	tivities described on page '132?	
2	A. No.		
3	Q. The	next heading is "Development Process."	
4	Do	you see that section?	
5	A. Yes	, I do.	09:28:57
6	Q. Thi	s states that "Extensive validation and	
7	safety testin	g occurred during the development of	
8	the service p	rocess."	
9	Did	SIS have any involvement in that	
10	validation an	d safety testing?	09:29:09
11	A. No.		
12	Q. In	the third sentence of that same	
13	paragraph it	refers to "A complete technical file	
14	describing qu	alification activities and independent	
15	testing."		09:29:22
16	Do	you see that reference?	
17	A. Id	0.	
18	Q. Doe	s SIS have access to the complete	
19	technical fil	e?	
20	A. No.		09:29:29
21	Q. Has	SIS ever had access to the complete	
22	technical fil	e?	
23	A. Not	to my knowledge.	
24	Q. Jum	ping forward a couple pages, please to	
25	the page endi	ng '135, heading "Electrical and	09:29:43
			Page 29

1	Electrosurgical Safety."		
2	Α.	Okay.	
3	Q.	This refers to 'Electrical/Electrosurgical	
4	safety te	sting that was conducted using a third	
5	party ind	ependent test lab." [As read]	09:30:02
6		Do you see that statement?	
7	Α.	I do.	
8	Q.	Who performed that	
9	electrica	l/electrosurgical safety testing?	
10	Α.	I do not know.	09:30:10
11	Q.	Did SIS have any involvement in that	
12	testing?		
13	Α.	No, they did not.	
14	Q.	On the next page, there's a section with	
15	the headi	ng	09:30:23
16		(Interruption in audio/video.)	
17		THE COURT REPORTER: There was an	
18	interrupt	ion. If you could start over, please.	
19	BY MR. CH	APUT:	
20	Q.	Certainly.	09:30:29
21		On page '136, there's a heading "Usability	
22	Engineeri	ng."	
23		Do you see that section, Mr. Posdal?	
24	Α.	I do.	
25	Q.	Who performed the usability engineering?	09:30:37
			Page 30

1	A. I do not know.	
2	Q. Did SIS have any involvement in the	
3	usability engineering?	
4	A. No.	
5	Q. The first sentence of this paragraph	09:30:50
6	reads, "The services have been designed to maintain	
7	the exterior specification, connection, use	
8	application, user profile, or frequently used	
9	functions when compared to the original devices	
10	produced by the OEM."	09:31:10
11	There's no mention of interior	
12	specifications here; is that correct?	
13	A. That appears to be correct, yes.	
14	Q. Did the process maintain the original	
15	interior specifications of the Intuitive EndoWrist	09:31:24
16	instruments?	
17	A. From my observation, yes, with the	
18	exception of the additional electronic device to add	
19	uses.	
20	Q. Right.	09:31:43
21	So Rebotix added a chip that was not part	
22	of Intuitive's original interior specifications;	
23	correct?	
24	A. To my knowledge, that is correct. Yes.	
25	Q. On the same page, there's a discussion of	09:31:52
		Page 31
	1	

1	the reliability/performance test summary.	
2	Do you see that section?	
3	A. I do.	
4	Q. Who performed the re reliability and	
5	performance testing?	09:32:09
б	A. I would assume it was Rebotix.	
7	Q. But you don't know, one way or the other?	
8	A. No. If they had subcontracted that out to	
9	someone, I would have no no way of knowing.	
10	Q. Did you ask?	09:32:21
11	A. I don't believe I did.	
12	Q. If you turn to the next page, you'll see	
13	there's a series of bullets. And then, I'm looking	
14	at the paragraph just after the bullets, if we	
15	can "Following the OEM characterization."	09:32:38
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. And in this paragraph, it describes	
18	instruments undergoing the use counter reset process	
19	and going through certain testing; is that right?	
20	A. I see that.	09:32:55
21	Q. Okay. How many instruments went through	
22	this testing process?	
23	A. Off the top of my head, I don't know. It	
24	might be in this document. It specifies the number	
25	of of devices that went through that. I don't	09:33:13
		Page 32

1	Q. 136. This is page 10 out of 25 in the	
2	PDF.	
3	A. Sorry. The page number again? '124	
4	Q. '124, 10 out of 25.	
5	A. Okay.	10:35:22
6	Q. Okay. And so this document has the title	
7	on the left-hand side, "da Vinci EndoWrist Repairs"?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Do you see that?	
10	And then the the first or or the	10:35:31
11	second second sentence says: "SIS can now	
12	service your da Vinci devices including repair and	
13	use counter reset"?	
14	A. Correct.	
15	Q. All right.	10:35:39
16	And then looking at the second bullet	
17	point in the list of "Important facts," that bullet	
18	reads: "The repair of da Vinci EndoWrist does not	
19	alter the intended use, method of use, functionality	
20	or performance of the device in any way."	10:35:52
21	Is that correct?	
22	A. That is correct.	
23	Q. Okay. And this da Vinci EndoWrist Repairs	
24	document is a document that SIS gave to customers in	
25	marketing the EndoWrist reset process; correct?	10:36:04
		Page 65

1	A. I I would imagine that's accurate, yes.	
2	Q. Okay. So looking again at at that	
3	bullet that we just read, what did SIS do to confirm	
4	the accuracy of that statement?	
5	A. This, again, much like some of the earlier	10:36:19
6	discussion, was generated by Rebotix, our partner at	
7	the time, that it had gone through the testing, that	
8	was their statement. We simply rebranded it.	
9	Q. SIS did not do anything to confirm that it	
10	was accurate, that "The repair of da Vinci EndoWrist	10:36:46
11	does not alter the intended use, method of use,	
12	functionality or performance of the device in any	
13	way"; correct?	
14	A. That is correct.	
15	MR. McCAULLEY: Objection to form.	10:36:57
16	BY MR. CHAPUT:	
17	Q. Let's look, now, at the fourth bullet. In	
18	the third sentence of that bullet it states: "The	
19	repaired device will function identically to the new	
20	OEM EndoWrist."	10:37:10
21	Do you see that statement?	
22	A. I do.	
23	Q. Okay. What did SIS do to confirm the	
24	accuracy of that statement?	
25	A. Nothing more than rely on Rebotix and	10:37:20
		Page 66

1	their testing and process and procedures.	
2	Q. Okay. So SIS did not do anything to	
3	confirm that it was accurate that the repaired	
4	device will function identically to the new OEM	
5	EndoWrist; correct?	10:37:38
6	MR. McCAULLEY: Ob objection to form.	
7	THE WITNESS: Correct.	
8	BY MR. CHAPUT:	
9	Q. Now, looking at the fifth bullet, this	
10	says that the repaired EndoWrist is, quote, "an	10:37:50
11	original da Vinci manufactured device that has been	
12	repaired to original specifications"; is that	
13	correct?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. What is the basis for that statement?	10:38:06
16	A. Again, this was directly out of the	
17	Rebotix documentation and simply rebranded.	
18	Q. So SIS did not do anything to confirm that	
19	it was accurate that a repaired EndoWrist is an	
20	original da Vinci manufactured device that has been	10:38:23
21	repaired to original specifications; correct?	
22	MR. McCAULLEY: Objection. Form.	
23	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	
24	BY MR. CHAPUT:	
25	Q. We can move on to Topic 9. This is: "The	10:38:44
		Page 67

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Hanna Kim, a Certified Shorthand 2 3 Reporter, do hereby certify: That prior to being examined, the witness 4 5 in the foregoing proceedings was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 6 7 but the truth; 8 That said proceedings were taken before me 9 at the time and place therein set forth remotely via videoconference and were taken down by me in 10 shorthand and thereafter transcribed into 11 typewriting under my direction and supervision; 12 I further certify that I am neither 13 14 counsel for, nor related to, any party to said proceedings, not in anywise interested in the 15 outcome thereof. 16 17 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 18 the original transcript of a deposition in a federal case, before completion of the proceedings, review 19 20 of the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. 21 In witness whereof, I have hereunto 22 subscribed my name: November 15, 2022. 23 24 25 Hanna Kim, CLR, CSR No. 13083 Page 73